

Strategic Review of Transportation Assessments With Regard to the Suitability of the Site for Development

Site AS22, Spencer's Lane / Bull Bridge Lane, Aintree

Site Summary

Site AS22 is located on Bull Bridge Lane, approximately five miles north east of the centre of Liverpool. It is on the edge of a residential urban area.

The site area is 4.3 hectares and can accommodate 120 homes.

The access appraisal, providing the core transportation evidence was submitted by SCP.



Purpose of Strategic Review

The Transport Assessment was produced by SCP on behalf of Persimmon Homes. This Strategic Review will determine whether the submitted transportation evidence for the above site is sufficiently robust to support the principle of development on the site.

Recommendations are presented below on the three strategic issues which are identified in paragraph 32 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

1. What improvements can be undertaken within the transport network that limit the significant impacts of the development?

The submitted transportation evidence has not featured a number of key technical aspects:

- Trip Generation
- Trip Distribution
- Assessment of Cumulative Development Traffic Flows
- Junction Modelling

Please see Appendix 1 and Table 1 for further details.

Until the above is addressed, it is not possible to determine the severity of residual cumulative impacts of development, or identify the need and viability of improvements to the transport network.

2. Can safe and suitable access to the site be achieved for all people?

The submitted technical note is limited in terms of the information submitted for walking, cycling and public transport accessibility.

The following work should be undertaken to demonstrate the strategic suitability of the site:

- A more thorough review of the accident data to ensure that increased traffic flows will not exacerbate any existing safety issues.
- Completion of a new accessibility assessment, which identifies suitable mitigation measures

and considers access points for all non-car users.

- Undertake a review of safe pedestrian and cycle accessibility with a review of access points for these modes
- Provision of a Stage 1 Road Safety Audit for the proposed roundabout access, in order to demonstrate that vehicles can access the site safely.

3. What opportunities for sustainable transport modes have been taken up depending to reduce the need for major transport infrastructure?

The following opportunities are suggested that would resolve existing issues with regard to the site location and reduce the dependence of the development on access by car:

- Pedestrian crossings and improved footways on Bull Bridge Lane
- Improvements along Bull Bridge Lane for cyclist and pedestrians
- Bus shelters and seating at nearby bus stops

Conclusions and Recommendations

The site is in a location that can be made accessible to all modes of transport with appropriate mitigation

However due to the shortcomings in the technical work (described above), the cumulative residual impact of the development remains unclear, and it is therefore not possible to judge whether the local road network can accommodate the traffic impact of the development.

Therefore the suitability of the site for development rests with the outcome of the revised technical work.

Appendix 1

Trip Generation

Trip Generation for each land use of the proposed development should be derived, with methodology and assumptions clearly stated.

Developers should look to provide a range of scenarios from a worst case to target trip rates. This is to account for the higher trip rates that tend to occur where a new build “edge of town” residential development has a high proportion of private housing.

As a guide, we have developed our own trip rates for edge of town residential developments.

Please refer to Table 1: Approach to Trip Generation and Scenario Management for Strategic Site Modelling for more details.

Residential Trip Rates per Dwelling	Mean Trip Rates		85 th Percentile Trip Rates	
	Inbound	Outbound	Inbound	Outbound
AM Peak	0.153	0.413	0.287	0.454
PM Peak	0.390	0.232	0.556	0.222

Trip Distribution

Generated traffic should be distributed across the road network. Methodology and assumptions should be clearly stated, and traffic flow diagrams appended. Suggested best practice for trip distribution is included in Table 1: Approach to Trip Generation and Scenario Management for Strategic Site Modelling.

Area of Assessment

Identify links and junctions to be assessed, with accompanying map and justification for any exclusions. As a guide this should include links and junctions which are affected by an increase in two-flow of more than 50 vehicles per hour.

Junction or Network Assessments

The identified junctions and links should be assessed in line with Table 1: Approach to Trip Generation and Scenario Management for Strategic Site Modelling.

Flows should be presented as the total number of vehicles with percentage HGVs, or PCUs.

Appropriate industry-approved software should be used to model the network. Summaries should be provided of junction and link capacity (e.g. Ratio of Flow to Capacity or Degree of Saturation), queue lengths, and delay, to determine whether the traffic growth caused by the development will have a material impact on junction operation. Roundabout assessments should account for unequal lane usage where appropriate.

Table 1: Approach to Trip Generation, Scoping and Scenario Management for Strategic Site Modelling

	Trip Generation	Description	Outcome
Step 1 (identify trip rates)	Target - Lower Trip Rates	<p>Target level of Trip Generation through sustainable trip reduction</p> <p>Considerations include assessment of location, location of schools and jobs, demographic profile, surrounding infrastructure, cycle and walking facilities, and use of best practice documents on sustainable modes. Commit to Travel Plan Measures to achieve target trip rates.</p>	Assess the most appropriate Trip Generation Rate for the Strategic Site for use in the Transport Assessment. Provide Sefton Council with justification on trip rates employed through an evidenced based approach. If no justification is provided, then use Worst Case 85 th % Trip Rates from TRICS.
	Most Likely - Between "Target" and "Worst Case"	<p>Most Likely level of Trip Generation with some level of sustainable trip reduction</p> <p>Assess Location and development mix. Use the TRICS database or other evidence to justify appropriate Trip Rates.</p>	
	Worst Case - 85th % Trip Rates from TRICS (or HA 85th percentile Trip Rates)	<p>Worst Case level of Trip Generation with no sustainable trip reduction</p> <p>Based solely on assessment of location and development mix. Use the TRICS database to justify appropriate 85th percentile Trip Rates.</p>	
	Scope of Network Assessment Coverage	Trip Distribution and derivation of 'In Scope' network	
Step 2 (identify network coverage)	Gravity Model or SATURN Modelling	<p>Gravity Model showing origins and destinations of AM and PM Car Driver Trips to and from development. Trip distribution flow diagram(s) showing assignment of trips to network.</p> <p>or</p> <p>Employ use of the Transport Model where available following due diligence by the developer.</p>	
	Junction Assessment Criteria	<p>Threshold number of 50, two-way trips, on links and junctions from and to the development.</p> <p>Use of Appropriate Modelling Software</p>	

	Modelling Scenario Management	Description	Growth to be Applied
Step 3 (Modelled Scenarios)	1. Base Year 2013/2014	Base year demonstrating existing conditions	None
	2. Future Year Reference Case Assumed to be full build out year (Intermediate year assessments to be considered for phasing of development)	Base + Committed Developments + Background Growth	Committed Developments - Use Existing TA's. Background Growth - For car driver growth use TEMPRO & NTM adjustment. Planning Assumptions should be adjusted to reflect total Local Plan Development & with assessed development removed. For LGV & HGV Growth use NTM. (All should be in line with webTAG Unit 3.15.2).
	3. Future Year Reference Case + Development Assumed to be full build out year (Intermediate year assessments to be considered for phasing of development)	Base + Committed Developments + Background Growth + Development	Committed Developments - Use Existing TA's. Background Growth - For car driver growth use TEMPRO & NTM adjustment. Planning Assumptions should be adjusted to reflect total Local Plan Development & with assessed development removed. For LGV & HGV Growth use NTM. (All should be in line with webTAG Unit 3.15.2). Development Traffic - Use trips generated using agreed trip rates, and distribution using agreed gravity model distribution.

	Cumulative Impact Assessment of Adjacent Developments	Description	Growth to be applied
<p>Step 4 (Detailed Cumulative Impact Assessment)</p>	<p>Future Year Reference Case (with adjusted Background Growth) + Adjacent Development + Development</p>	<p>Base + Adjacent Developments + Background Growth (adjusted for adjacent developments) + Development</p>	<p>Committed Developments - Use Existing TA's. Background Growth - For car driver growth use TEMPRO & NTM adjustment. Planning Assumptions should be adjusted to reflect total Local Plan Development & with assessed & adjacent developments removed. For LGV & HGV Growth use NTM. (All should be in line with webTAG Unit 3.15.2). Adjacent Local Plan Developments (not committed) - Explicitly model trips from nearby Strategic Sites. Development Traffic - Use trips generated using agreed trip rates, and distribution using agreed gravity model distribution.</p>